	K5R5mirC	telephone	conference
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT C		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW		
3	MIRROR WORLDS TECHNOLOGI	ES,	
4	Plaintiff		New York, N.Y.
5	v.		17 Civ. 3473 (JGK)
6	FACEBOOK INC.,		
7	Defendant	•	
9		x	
10			May 27, 2020 2:30 p.m.
11	Before:		
12	HON. JOHN G. KOELTL,		
13			District Judge
14			
15	APPEARANCES		
16			
17	RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT Attorneys for Plain	tiff	
18	BY: MARC A. FENSTER BY: CHARLES R. MACEDO		
19	BY: BENJAMIN T. WANG		
20	COOLEY, LLP Attorneys for Defen	dant	
21	BY: HEIDI L. KEEFE BY: PHILLIP E. MORTON		
22			
23			
24			
25			

K5R5mirC

(Case called)

LAW CLERK: All parties stay who they are, starting with plaintiff's counsel.

telephone conference

MR. WANG: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is Ben
Wang from Russ, August & Kabat on behalf of the plaintiff
Mirror Worlds Technologies. I am joined on today's call by my
colleagues Marc Fenster and Charlie Macedo.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. KEEFE: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is Heidi Keefe from Cooley representing Facebook, and with me on the line is my colleague Phil Morton.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, all. It is good to talk to you. Thank you for making yourselves available for the call. I have the parties' 26(f) report, it was a very thorough report. Thank you.

There are two, as I see it, disagreements between the parties; one is with respect to how much more time for fact depositions. Mirror Worlds wants 90 hours, Facebook wants to stay with 70 hours, per side. That difference doesn't seem very substantial to me. It comes down to about three additional days per side for the depositions and I think that's reasonable so I think the Mirror Worlds' proposal for the 90 hours rather than 70 should be adopted.

The second issue is the close of fact discovery which would be page 7 of the 26(f) report.

K5R5mirC	telephone	conference

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Inner City Press.		
2	THE COURT: I'm sorry?		
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Inner City Press.		
4	THE COURT: I can't hear you.		
5	I should say, if one of the parties speaks you should		
6	say who you are before speaking. And, it is not at all clear		
7	to me that that was even a party who was interjecting.		
8	So, the question is when is the close of fact		
9	discovery. Facebook proposed July 21; Mirror Worlds proposed		
10	October 30. Realistically, given the problems of the pandemic,		
11	which is plainly still affecting		
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would just note that it was		
13	listed as a notable proceeding in the District Executive's		
14	distribution		
15	THE COURT: Whoever is talking, please, don't talk.		
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sure.		
17	THE COURT: The reporter can't get you down. And, I		
18	am talking. So, when I am finished talking the parties can		
19	then talk. And, before talking any party should identify		
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. No. No. No. No. Bro.		
21	THE COURT: Stop it. Whoever is saying "No. No." put		
22	yourself on mute		
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Now I can't		
24	THE COURT: because you are interrupting.		
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't believe in that. I'm		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

K5R5mirC telephone conference

1 sorry. I don't fucking believe in this.

THE COURT: Please, stop.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't think that's possible. I am not fucking bowing out (inaudible) shit.

THE COURT: On page 7, the Mirror Worlds proposal was October 30 and the Facebook proposal was July 21. In view of the pandemic --

> UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. No. No. No. No. No.

THE COURT: -- it's reasonable -- stop it.

It is reasonable to say that the close of fact discovery should be October the 30th, which would then lead to expert reports on December 4. The letter, with respect to dispositive motions, should be February 5, not February 19. The schedule for dispositive motions, if there are going to be dispositive motions, should then be March 5th; opposition, March 26th; replies, April 9th. The subsequent dates are geared to decision on the dispositive motions for a date if there is no dispositive motions. If there is no dispositive motions then the motions in limine should be due February 19, which is the same date then for joint pretrial order and voir dire, responses would then be due February 26.

I think that takes care of all of the dates. have misspoken on any of the dates or these dates cause a problem for either side, I welcome you to negotiate it between yourselves or to get back to me. You have both been very

K5R5mirC

telephone conference

cooperative in the litigation and it would be useful for me if you took the schedule and put it into a new scheduling order which I can then -- which you can submit to me and I can then adopt.

So, having set out all of that out, I would be prepared to listen to anything that counsel for Mirror Worlds or Facebook would like to tell me. So, let me start with counsel for Mirror Worlds and please say who you are for the record before talking. And, whoever it is who may be an outsider listening in; you are listening in, you are not participating. It is for the lawyers to talk.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, I'm --

THE COURT: If I had a marshal here and there were someone talking out of turn in the courtroom, I would have that person removed. So, let's start with Mirror Worlds.

Mr. Wang?

MR. WANG: Yes, this is Mr. Wang. This is Ben Wang, counsel for Mirror Worlds.

Your Honor, I have no idea what is going on with that background person speaking so it is definitely not on our side. My only question, your Honor, is just a clarification on the dates. You indicated that the dispositive motion date was March 5th.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. WANG: Then my question is the motion in limine

K5R5mirC telephone conference

dates seem potentially inconsistent because I took down a February 19th date for the motion *in limines* which would be before --

THE COURT: That's if no dispositive motion is made.

MR. WANG: Oh, I see your Honor. Understood. I apologize.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. WANG: And then just because I had some technical difficulties, I did not catch the response and reply deadlines for the motions in limine if there are no dispositive motions filed and if you could repeat that, I would appreciate that.

THE COURT: The motions in limine, the joint pretrial order, and the voir dire, would all be due February 19 and the responses would be due February 26th.

MR. WANG: Okay. Understood. That's it for the plaintiff, your Honor.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Settlement conference can be --

THE COURT: Ms. Keefe?

MS. KEEFE: Thank you, your Honor. This is Heidi
Keefe. And, I don't believe it is anyone from our line as well
so far as the technical oddities.

We understand what your Honor is saying and we appreciate that. I would just also volunteer that I have had numerous other cases going through the close of discovery during the pandemic and video depositions do work well and we

K5R5mirC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

telephone conference

will endeavor to work closely with plaintiff throughout this to make sure that we are doing what's right and safe for everyone but there are ways to do these things even during these bad times. THE COURT: Well, thank you, and I wish you well. I think that the schedule is a reasonable schedule and I wish you well in concluding it. I take it then from both sides there will be no requests for any extensions. That's plainly your view; right, Ms. Keefe? MS. KEEFE: Your Honor, this is Heidi Keefe. That is in fact my view. Absolutely. Obviously, I can't predict everything that might happen and so there is always a chance, but I absolutely believe that everything can be done in this time period. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: And Mr. Wang? MR. WANG: Your Honor, yes, that's our hope as well. THE COURT: Great. Okay. Is there anything else for me today? MR. WANG: Not from the plaintiff, your Honor. MR. MORTON: Not from defense, your Honor. But I know we can always reach you if we need you. So, we very much appreciate it. And, we are happy to be back in your court. THE COURT: Okay. Absolutely. If anything comes up

that you need me, please, just write me a letter and I am happy

```
K5R5mirC
                                telephone conference
      to have a conference with you. And, as you correctly point
1
2
      out, I am always reachable.
3
               Okay. Great. Good to talk to you all. Be well and
 4
      safe.
5
               MS. KEEFE: Thank you, your Honor. You do the same.
6
      Thank you.
 7
               MR. WANG: Thank you, your Honor.
8
               THE COURT: Okay. Bye.
9
                                    000
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```